

Serial No.: 10/065,284  
Attorney Docket No.: F-558

Patent

## REMARKS

### 1. Status of Claims

Claims 1-17 were pending in the Application. Applicants have amended claims 1, 8, 12 and 17 without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants respectfully request entry of the above amendments and consideration of the enclosed remarks. Applicants submit that no new matter is added. Accordingly, claims 1-17 will remain pending in the application.

### 2. Rejections under 35 USC § 112

In section 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have amended claim 8 and the rejection is moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection to claim 8.

### 3. Rejections under 35 USC § 102(e)

In section 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,201,903 to Wolff, et al. ("Wolff '903").

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. However, solely in order to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended claims 1, 12 and 17 and the rejection is moot.

Regarding claim 1 and 12, Applicants respectfully submit that Wolff '903 does not teach or suggest:

composing the document using the document type data to select the form of the document from a selection of at least two form types, and using the input data to populate the document.

Serial No.: 10/065,284  
Attorney Docket No.: F-558

Patent

Regarding claim 1 and 12, Applicants respectfully submit that Wolff '903 does not teach or suggest:

the additional document data field data does not correspond to the received pen stroke data, and

the processor uses the destination data to send the composed document and uses the at least one input data field data to determine the at least one additional document data field data.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection to claims 1-17.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and submit that the invention as presently claimed in claims 1-17 is patentable and in condition for allowance.

#### **4. Conclusion Of Remarks**

For at least the reasons stated above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims of this application are in condition for allowance and early and favorable action thereon is requested.

If the Examiner believes that additional issues may be resolved by a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned attorney for Applicant at (203) 924-3180.

#### **5. Authorization**

No fee is believed due with this Amendment. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for the response or credit any overpayment to the Pitney Bowes, Inc. Deposit Account Number 16-1885, Order No. F-558.

Serial No.: 10/065,284  
Attorney Docket No.: F-558

Patent

In the event that an extension of time or additional extension of time is required to make this response timely filed, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time which is required to make this response timely. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to the Pitney Bowes, Inc. Deposit Account Number 16-1885, Order No. F-558.

Respectfully submitted,



George M. Macdonald  
Reg. No. 39,284  
Attorney of Record  
Telephone (203) 924-3180

PITNEY BOWES INC.  
Intellectual Property and Technology Law Department  
35 Waterview Drive, P.O. Box 3000  
Shelton, CT 06484-8000